Malignant renal schwannoma in a cat
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Abstract
A nine-year-old male European shorthair cat with rapidly enlarging mass at the left kidney doubted to be malignant was presented. The purpose of this study is to present the clinical, radiological and pathological findings of a primary renal tumor in the cat. Grossly, the mass mostly encapsulated the kidney. Histologically, excisional biopsy showed worrying histological features. A sarcoma-like tumor composed mainly of neoplastic spindle-shaped cells. Neoplastic nodules of aggregations of fusiform cells arranged in multidirectional bundles. Immunohistochemically, several immunohistochemical satins (melan-A, S-100, vimentin, actin, desmin, cytokeratin, neurofilament, melan-A, NSE, synaptophysin, chromogranin, Gial Fibrillary Acidic Protein GFAP, Collagen IV and CD99) were used to differentially diagnose the mass. The stained neoplastic sections positively tested to S-100, but negative to the other aforementioned immunohistochemical stains. Immunohistochemistry with S-100 antibody staining showed an unusually strong positive reaction throughout the tumor cells. Based on our comparative diagnosis relative to other tumors, in addition to the progressive clinical signs, histopathological and immunohistochemical results, this case was presumptively diagnosis as a malignant schwannoma. According to our investigation of the relevant literature, this study of malignant renal Schwannoma (malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor) is a highly rare case not previously characterized in a cat.
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Introduction
There are a few reports of schwannomas in cats: in the oral cavity (Boonsriroj et al., 2014), in the head (Watrous et al., 1999), in the forelimb (Tremblay et al., 2005), and in the eye (Evans et al., 2010). Primary renal tumors are uncommon in domestic animals, so that only 12% of renal tumors are primary, while 88% are secondary. In general, 99% of renal tumors are malignant, 77% of which are epithelial and 23% mesenchymal in origin. Renal tumors of neural origin are extremely rare (Meuten, 2002). According to our survey, only one case of renal tumor from neural origin has been reported in a cat (Jones et al., 1995).

Schwannoma is a tumor that arises from the Schwann’s cells that are present among the cell types that form the nerve sheath. Malignant Schwannoma is part of a larger group of rare malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) which are also called soft tissue sarcomas or neurofibrosarcomas (Rapini et al., 2007).

Case details
A 9-year-old male European shorthair male, castrated, cat was referred for clinical investigation. Initial diagnosis showed that the cat suffered from loss of appetite and low water intake with abdominal distension. Radiography and ultrasonography investigations revealed a mass approximately 15 cm in diameter within the left kidney. The cat was admitted for nephrectomy and the excised specimen was submitted for histopathology investigation at the Institute for Veterinary Pathology, Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen, Germany. No information on the cat after performing surgery.

The kidney together with the tumor mass were fixed in neutral buffered 10% formalin solution. A specimen from the tissue was processed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 4 μm thickness and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Other sections were stained with Periodic Acid Schiff Stain (PAS), Azan trichrome stain and Warthin-Star (WS) stain. Immunohistochemistry was performed using polyclonal rabbit anti-S-100 antibody (Z0311 DAKO Co.), Anti-S-100 has strong tendency to positively react with most melanocytic tumors, Schwannomas, ependymomas, astroglomas and glioblastomas, and sometimes with salivary gland tumors (Kawahara et al., 1988). Along with anti-S-100, and for differential diagnosis, the sample was stained with other antibodies for Neurofilaments, Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), Melan-A, NSE, Synaptophysin, Chromogranin (using the ABC method) and CD99 (monoclonal antibody 12E7 raised against the MIC2 protein).
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The gross examination, of formalin fixed kidney, showed a singular, roughly spherical encapsulated tumor mass in the left kidney. The mass was about 5.5 x 4.5 x 4 cm superficially nodular, greyish-white in color, and greasy in texture. The tumor was detected at one pole of the kidney.

The tumor is well demarcated and distinguished from the normal renal tissue; it encapsulates the outside of the kidney and infiltrates inside the renal pelvis but it does not expand to the renal parenchyma (Fig. 1). The left adrenal gland could not be located. Right kidney was normal. Based on mass location and size, this tumor might be a primary tumor.

Excised specimen was sent for histopathological examination which demonstrates thick capsules with loose tissues in some parts. Most of the neoplastic cells were arranged in dense bundles with increased cellularity like Antoni A areas of a classic schwannoma, and some in loosely arranged streams of cells like Antoni B (Fig. 2).

In other parts of the tumor, single-cell groups were distributed as a fibro-vascular stroma which appeared to be mostly myxomatous.

The neoplastic cells appeared spindle-like or oval in shape with indistinct borders. The cytoplasm was eosinophilic with a moderate amount of PAS-positive fine granules. The nuclei, which appeared at the center as oval, elongated or spindle-like in shape, were hyperchromatic and finely stippled. The nucleoli were mostly singular and basophilic. Mitotic activity ranged from 3 to 5 per high power field (HPF). WS stain showed no evidence of external lamina to confirm the diagnosis of Schwannoma. The Azan stain showed a low to moderate amount of collagen fibres between the tumor cells. Renal tissue invasion, necrosis and hemorrhaging were evidence of malignancy.

There were heterologous elements in form of cartilaginous islets (Fig. 3). Additionally, a mild multifocal lymphocytic interstitial nephritis was noted. Immunostaining showed that all tumor cells were strongly positive for anti-S-100 polyclonal antibodies (Fig. 4) and negative for Melan-A, Vimentin, actin, desmin, cytokeratin, neurofilament, melan-A, NSE, synaptophysin, chromogranin, Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein GFAP, Collagen IV and CD99.
Discussion

In such cases, morphological appearance might point at carcinoma as the primary suspect. Histological examination as well as immunohistochemistry, however, opposed this indication. Negative reaction with cytokeratin in particular excluded epithelial origin for this tumor. On the other hand, S-100 protein is normally expressed in cells originating from the neural crest (Schwann’s cells, and melanocytes), as well as myoepithelial cells, chondrocytes, macrophages, adipocytes, keratinocytes, Langerhans cells, (Wilson et al., 1991; Coppola et al., 1998), dendritic cells, and some breast epithelial cells (Shinzato et al., 1995).

According to the literature, the expression of S-100 proteins varies between tumor types: 100% of Schwannomas and 100% of neurofibromas (with considerably lower stain intensity in neurofibromas than in schwannomas) 70-90% of melanomas and 50% of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors, paraganglioma stromal cells, histiocytoma and clear cell sarcomas (Nonaka et al., 2008).

Immunohistochemistry showed negative reaction with antibodies to Melan-A, which is normally expressed by melanocytes. The negative expression of Melan-A excluded melanoma as a candidate and limited the diagnosis to a tumor of neural origin. In using anti-S-100 as an antibody indicator of neural origin, it is important to note that antibodies to S-100 family proteins react with many neural tumors outside the central nervous system, such as:

1- Schwannomas (Sabel and Teepen, 1995; Sarlomo-Rikala et al., 1998).
2- Neurofibroma (Karvonen et al., 2000).
3- Perineurioma: Fletcher (2007) stated that a small minority of perineuriums show focal S-100 positivity. However, Weidenheim and Campbell (1986), Kleihues and Sobin (2000), Rankine et al. (2004) and Boyanton et al. (2007) reported that perineuriomas were negative for S-100 proteins.
4- Melanocytic tumors (Gaynor et al., 1981; Orchard, 2000).
5- Adrenal Oncocytic Pheochromocytoma: Fletcher (2007) stated that only sustentacular cells within pheochromocytomas showed positive reaction with antibodies to S-100 proteins. However, Lin et al. (1998) and Mearini et al. (2012) reported that Pheochromocytomas showed negative reaction with antibodies to S-100 proteins.
6- Liposarcomas (Andrion et al., 1991; McDonald et al., 2011).
7- Synovial sarcomas (Olsen et al., 2006).
8- Chondrosarcomas (rarely, and express cytokeratin) had positive reaction with antibodies to S-100 according to Oakley et al. (2008), but negative reaction according to Swanson et al. (1990).
9- Ossifying fibromyxoid tumor of soft parts (Miettinen et al., 2008).

According to the WHO classification of neural origin tumors, Schwannomas diffusely, strongly and uniformly react with antibodies to S-100 protein, vimentin, and GFAP (Koestner, 1999). In this particular case, S-100 staining was strongly and diffusely positive but vimentin and GFAP staining were negative.

Ultrastructure of external lamina usually persists, in an attenuated and interrupted form, adjacent to cells of malignant Schwannomas (Ghadially, 2013). External lamina tends to be absent in Schwannomas (Erlandson and Woodruff, 1982). In this tumor, the external lamina was not clear and contained sporadic remnants.

As a result of the mutual expression of S-100 proteins by a variety of neural tumors to different degrees, and due to the absence of conclusive evidence, differential diagnosis was conducted to investigate and compare potential candidates with Schwannoma mainly based on the expression of S-100 and distinctive histopathological features:

Neurofibromas exhibit less reactivity (40%) with S-100 antibody in comparison with Schwannomas, which react 100% with S-100 antibody. Neurofibromas were categorized into neuro and fibroblastic sub-tumor regions. Neuro components express only 40% of the tissue stained with S-100 antibody whereas the fibroblastic component does not express S-100 and reacts with antibodies to vimentin (Anton et al., 1994).

In our study, cells were 100% S-100 positive. Microscopically, neurofibroma cells are elongated and arranged in interlacing bundles. Based on differential histological test and S-100 immune reaction, neurofibroma was a weak candidate for this case study. Perineurioma is an uncommon nerve sheath tumor expressing epithelial membrane antigen (EMA). Therefore, most Perineuriomas stain positively for EMA, Claudin-1, vimentin and collagen (Kleihues and Sobin, 2000) but negatively for S-100 protein and cytokeratin (Giannini et al., 1997; van Roggen et al., 2001). Although some other previously mentioned research studies stated that perineurioma cells do not express S-100 proteins, Fletcher (2007) reported that a small minority of cases may show positive reaction with S-100.

Histologically, perineurioma has been categorized into two types: intraneural and soft tissue perineuroma. Perineurioma cells appear well-differentiated and spindle-like in shape with stretched nuclei and eosinophilic cytoplasm. Perineuroms are characterized by parallel cell arrangement to form concentric whorls known as onion bulbs (Hornick et al., 2009).
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This feature does not appear in the histological examination for this case. Both differences in histological appearance and the controversial reaction with S-100 antibody gave considerable reason for eliminating Perineurioma tumor in this case.

Malignant melanoma was initially a strong suspect due to the positivity of the tumor for S-100. For specificity, we applied immune staining using an antibody to Melan-A, which is a melanoma-specific marker (Smith et al., 2002) for which more than 67% of feline melanomas are cytoplasmatic positive (Ramos-Vara et al., 2002). Our case was negative for expression of Melan-A.

Ossifying fibromyxoid tumor of soft parts (OFMT) is an extremely rare tumor of bone and soft tissue (Graham et al., 2011). Although our survey of the literature showed no previous report of OFMT in domestic animals, previous studies in human revealed different expression levels of S-100 by ossifying fibromyxoid tumor cells: 73% (Graham et al., 2011), 60% (Folpe and Weiss, 2003) and 53% (Gebre-Medhin et al., 2012). These expression levels were considerably lower in comparison with our study, in which the degree of expression of S-100 was unusually high, with almost 100%.

Furthermore, our IHC results showed no expression of desmin which had been reported 38% in (Graham et al., 2011), 13% (Folpe and Weiss, 2003) and 82% in OFMT (Gebre-Medhin et al., 2012).

Histologically, OFMT presents as a multi lobular growth consisting of nests of spherical-to-ovoid cells embedded in fibromyxoid stroma (Gebre-Medhin et al., 2012). It is also characterized by atypical necrosis and mitosis with high cellular activity rates >2 MF/50 HPF (Folpe and Weiss, 2003).

According to the known histological features of OFMT and the IHC results (S-100 and desmin) obtained in this study, OFMT was likely not the correct tumor in this case. However, theoretically, OFMT could not be completely excluded from the comparison with Schwann’s cell tumors.

Adrenal oncocytic pheochromocytoma positively expresses chromogranin, synaptophysin, neuron-specific enolase, neurofilament, serotonin, bombesin, ACTH, vimentin, desmin, S-100 protein, and cytokeratins including AE1/3, CAM 5.2, cytokeratin 7, and cytokeratin 20. (Li and Wenig, 2000). Gross appearance examination of our case showed that the tumor originated from the kidney pelvis whereas Pheochromocytoma originates from the medulla of the adrenal gland. In addition, according to our observations, Oncocytic pheochromocytoma usually stains with yellow in formalin fixation. This phenomenon was not observed in our case.

Liposarcomas express S-100 in fat cells and lipoblasts. PAS positive elements might be seen as well in some adipocytes and lipoblasts, which positively react with anti-vimentin but vary in intensity and might not react in poorly differentiated lesions due to lack of expression. Transition from low to high grade non-lipogenic morphology can occur within a well-differentiated liposarcoma. Heterologous elements occur in 5-10% of cases with myogenic, osteo/chondrosarcomatous, or rarely angiosarcomatous elements. Myxoid liposarcoma (Van Roggen and Fletcher, 1999) and spindle cell liposarcoma (Dei Tos et al., 1994) were both reported as S-100 positive. In our case, no adipose cell differentiation was observed, and the diagnosis of liposarcoma can be easily excluded based on the histological appearance.

Diagnosis of schwannomas is based on presence of some features, including: (1) Antoni Type A or B histologic feature (Cordy, 1978). (2) Round cells with few cytoplasmic components, enclosed by a basal lamina (Erlandson and Woodruff, 1982). (3) Positive immunohistochemical staining to S-100 of neuronal origin (Wechsler et al., 1973; Vinores et al., 1984).

Generally, discrimination between benign and malignant schwannoma is sometimes challenging; since both kinds have comparable morphological features (Boonsriroj et al., 2014). Malignant schwannoma is usually densely packed arranged in patterns with high mitotic range (Pumarola et al., 1996). Whereas, benign schwannoma usually is small in size, grows superficially with low mitotic activities (Kindblom et al., 1998).

Although positive immunoreactivity for S-100 is an indication of benign and most malignant Schwannomas (Matsunou et al., 1985), cells in this tumor showed malignant characteristics in histological examination, e.g. Antoni A and B patterns and neoplastic cells with different sizes and shapes.

**Conclusion**

From these comparisons, which were conducted based on the strong expression of S-100 and from the exclusion of the aforementioned tumors, the findings of our investigation matched malignant schwannoma as the most likely diagnosis in this case. Unlike these malignant tumors, cellular schwannoma reveals a strong and diffuse reactivity for S-100. Figure 2 clearly shows two different groups of cells (Antoni patterns A and B); a looser area with low density of cells and interwoven dense cells with clear myxoid changes. Antoni patterns, in this case, may be a good indicator for malignant schwannoma versus benign schwannoma.

In addition, and in comparison with similar studies (Mandrioli et al., 2005; Cho et al., 2006; Boonsriroj et al., 2014; Duke et al., 2015), histomorphological and immunohistochemical means helped in reaching that malignant schwannoma is the final diagnosis in our case.
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